Introduction to Exceptional Field Theories

Henning Samtleben ENS de Lyon

Geometry and Duality – AEI Potsdam 12/2019





duality symmetries in supergravity

upon toroidal reduction on T^d, eleven-dimensional supergravity exhibits the global exceptional symmetry group E_{d(d)}



after proper dualization/reorganisation of the fields



duality symmetries in supergravity

upon toroidal reduction on T^d, eleven-dimensional supergravity exhibits the global exceptional symmetry group E_{d(d)}



after proper dualization/reorganisation of the fields

- the GL(d) subgroups can be explained geometrically
- the compact subgroup SU(8) $\subset E_{7(7)}$ can be made visible already in eleven dimensions

 Image: Compact subgroup compact subgroup SU(8)

 Image: Compact subgroup compact subgroup subgroup subgroup compact subgroup subg

 $SO(1,10) \longrightarrow SO(1,3) \times SO(7) \longrightarrow SO(1,3) \times SU(8)$

to which extent are (remnants of) the full exceptional groups present in D=11?

Henning Samtleben

ENS de Lyon

to which extent are (remnants of) the full exceptional groups present in D=11?



exceptional field theory



exceptional field theory

- exceptional geometry & tensor hierarchy
- invariant action functionals

applications

- consistent truncations and AdS vacua
- Kaluza-Klein spectroscopy

based on work with Olaf Hohm, Emanuel Malek,

Arnaud Baguet, Hadi Godazgar, Mahdi Godazgar, Hermann Nicolai, Gianluca Inverso, Marc Magro, Edvard Musaev, Mario Trigiante, Guillaume Bossard, Martin Cederwall, Franz Ciceri, Axel Kleinschmidt, Jakob Palmkvist, Dan Butter, Ergin Sezgin



example: E₆₍₆₎ exceptional field theory (ExFT)

based on the exceptional symmetry group $E_{6(6)}$ of D=5 maximal supergravity



maximal supersymmetry, global E₆₍₆₎



D=5 maximal supergravity

after reduction of D=11 supergravity on T⁶ and proper dualization of the dof's, the D=5 bosonic Lagrangian takes the $E_{6(6)}$ invariant form

$$\mathcal{L} = R + \frac{1}{24} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \partial^{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} F_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} F^{\mu\nu N} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}$$
[Cremmer, 1980]

 $g_{\mu\nu}$: 5 x 5 external metric

 \mathcal{M}_{MN} : 27 x 27 internal metric (scalars), parametrizing the coset E₆₍₆₎/USp(8)

 $A_{\mu}{}^{M}$: 27 vector fields \checkmark 27 two-form fields $B_{\mu\nu M}$

with $\mathcal{L}_{top} = d_{KMN} F^M \wedge F^N \wedge A^K$



D=5 maximal supergravity

after reduction of D=11 supergravity on T⁶ and proper dualization of the dof's, the D=5 bosonic Lagrangian takes the $E_{6(6)}$ invariant form

$$\mathcal{L} = R + \frac{1}{24} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \partial^{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} F_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} F^{\mu\nu N} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}$$

[Cremmer, 1980]

 $g_{\mu\nu}$: 5 x 5 external metric

 \mathcal{M}_{MN} : 27 x 27 internal metric (scalars), parametrizing the coset E₆₍₆₎/USp(8)

 $A_{\mu}{}^{M}$: 27 vector fields \checkmark 27 two-form fields $B_{\mu\nu M}$

with $\mathcal{L}_{top} = d_{KMN} F^M \wedge F^N \wedge A^K$

exceptional field theory:

- same Kaluza-Klein reorganisation of the higher-dimensional fields
- $-\ensuremath{$ keeping the dependence on all internal coordinates



E₆₍₆₎ exceptional field theory

$$\mathcal{L} = R + \frac{1}{24} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \partial^{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} F_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} F^{\mu\nu N} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}$$

[Cremmer, 1980]

- same Kaluza-Klein reorganisation of the higher-dimensional fields
- keeping the dependence on all internal coordinates

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \hat{R} + \frac{1}{24} g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu M} \mathcal{F}^{N}_{\mu\nu} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} - V(\mathcal{M}, e).$$

[Hohm, HS]



E₆₍₆₎ exceptional field theory

$$\mathcal{L} = R + \frac{1}{24} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \partial^{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} F_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} F^{\mu\nu N} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}$$

[Cremmer, 1980]

- same Kaluza-Klein reorganisation of the higher-dimensional fields
- keeping the dependence on all internal coordinates

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \hat{R} + \frac{1}{24} g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu M} \mathcal{F}^{N}_{\mu\nu} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} - V(\mathcal{M}, e).$$

[Hohm, HS]

- non-abelian gauge structure:
 - internal coordinate dependence gives rise to an (infinite-dimensional) non-abelian gauge structure: the internal diffeomorphisms
 - > known from Kaluza-Klein theory
 - > here: also p-form gauge symmetries and dualization



$$\mathcal{L} = R + \frac{1}{24} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \partial^{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} F_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} F^{\mu\nu N} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}$$

[Cremmer, 1980]

- same Kaluza-Klein reorganisation of the higher-dimensional fields
- keeping the dependence on all internal coordinates

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \hat{R} + \frac{1}{24} g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu M} \mathcal{F}^{N}_{\mu\nu} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} - V(\mathcal{M}, e).$$

[Hohm, HS]

non-abelian gauge structure: generalized diffeomorphisms

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mu}} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda} V^{M} = \Lambda^{N} \partial_{N} V^{M} - \kappa \left[\partial_{N} \Lambda^{M} \right]_{\text{adj}} V^{N}$$

[Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram]

 \sim combining into a single vector parameter $\,\Lambda^M\,\,\in\,\,{f 27}$

$$\Lambda^M = \begin{cases} \Lambda^m & \text{internal diffeomorphisms} \\ \Lambda_{mn} & \text{internal 3-form gauge transformations} \\ \Lambda_{klmnp} & \text{internal 6-form gauge transformations} \end{cases}$$

by construction $E_{6(6)}$ covariant:

 $\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{e}_{6(6)} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}d^{KMN} = 0$



$$\mathcal{L} = R + \frac{1}{24} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \partial^{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} F_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} F^{\mu\nu N} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}$$

[Cremmer, 1980]

- same Kaluza-Klein reorganisation of the higher-dimensional fields
- keeping the dependence on all internal coordinates

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \hat{R} + \frac{1}{24} g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu M} \mathcal{F}^{N}_{\mu\nu} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} - V(\mathcal{M}, e).$$

[Hohm, HS]

non-abelian gauge structure: generalized diffeomorphisms

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mu}} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda} V^{M} = \Lambda^{N} \partial_{N} V^{M} - \kappa \Big[\partial_{N} \Lambda^{M} \Big]_{\text{adj}} V^{N}$$

[Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram]

> embedding $\partial_m \longrightarrow \partial_M$ subject to the section constraint

$$d^{KMN} \partial_M \otimes \partial_N = 0 \quad \begin{cases} d^{KMN} \partial_M \partial_N f = 0 \\ d^{KMN} \partial_M f \partial_N g = 0 \end{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Berman, Perry, Cederwall,} \\ \text{Kleinschmidt, Thompson} \end{bmatrix}$$

covariant restriction down to 6 coordinates, breaking $E_{6(6)}$ to GL(6)

IID: $\partial_M \rightarrow \{\partial_m, \partial^{mn}, \partial^{mnpqr}\}$

 $\mathbf{27} \longrightarrow \mathbf{6} + \mathbf{15} + \mathbf{6}$

Henning Samtleben

ENS de Lyon

$$\mathcal{L} = R + rac{1}{24} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \partial^{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} - rac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} F_{\mu
u}{}^M F^{\mu
u N} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{ ext{top}}$$

[Cremmer, 1980]

- same Kaluza-Klein reorganisation of the higher-dimensional fields
- keeping the dependence on all internal coordinates

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \hat{R} + \frac{1}{24} g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu M} \mathcal{F}^{N}_{\mu\nu} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} - V(\mathcal{M}, e).$$

[Hohm, HS]

non-abelian gauge structure: generalized diffeomorphisms

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mu}} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda} V^{M} = \Lambda^{N} \partial_{N} V^{M} - \kappa \Big[\partial_{N} \Lambda^{M} \Big]_{\text{adj}} V^{N}$$

[Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram]

> embedding $\partial_m \longrightarrow \partial_M$ subject to the section constraint

$$d^{KMN} \partial_M \otimes \partial_N = 0 \quad \begin{cases} d^{KMN} \partial_M \partial_N f = 0 \\ d^{KMN} \partial_M f \partial_N g = 0 \end{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Berman, Perry, Cederwall,} \\ \text{Kleinschmidt, Thompson} \end{bmatrix}$$

covariant restriction down to 6 (5) coordinates, breaking $E_{6(6)}$ to GL(6) ($GL(5) \times SL(2)$)

IID: $\partial_M \rightarrow \{\partial_m, \partial^{mn}, \partial^{mnpqr}\}$

Henning Samtleben

$$\mathsf{IIB:} \ \partial_M \rightarrow \{\partial_i, \partial^{\mathbf{k}}, \partial^{\mathbf{k}}, \partial^{\mathbf{k}} \}$$

Arile An Araz



ENS de Lyon

$$\mathcal{L} = R + \frac{1}{24} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \partial^{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} F_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} F^{\mu\nu N} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}$$

[Cremmer, 1980]

- same Kaluza-Klein reorganisation of the higher-dimensional fields
- keeping the dependence on all internal coordinates

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \hat{R} + \frac{1}{24} g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu M} \mathcal{F}^{N}_{\mu\nu} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} - V(\mathcal{M}, e).$$

[Hohm, HS]

non-abelian gauge structure: generalized diffeomorphisms

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mu}} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda} V^{M} = \Lambda^{N} \partial_{N} V^{M} - \kappa \left[\partial_{N} \Lambda^{M} \right]_{\text{adj}} V^{N}$$
[Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram]

> non-associative gauge algebra \longrightarrow modified YM field strengths

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} = 2 \partial_{[\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu]}{}^{M} - [\mathcal{A}_{\mu}, \mathcal{A}_{\nu}]^{M}_{\mathrm{E}} + 10 d^{MNK} \partial_{K} \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu N}$

with 27 two-forms $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu M}$ and topological term $d\mathcal{L}_{top} = d_{KMN} \mathcal{F}^K \wedge \mathcal{F}^M \wedge \mathcal{F}^N - 40 d^{KMN} \mathcal{H}_K \wedge \partial_M \mathcal{H}_N$

ENS de Lyon

$$\mathcal{L} = R + rac{1}{24} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \partial^{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} - rac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} F_{\mu
u}{}^M F^{\mu
u N} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{ ext{top}}$$

[Cremmer, 1980]

- same Kaluza-Klein reorganisation of the higher-dimensional fields
- keeping the dependence on all internal coordinates

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \hat{R} + \frac{1}{24} g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu M} \mathcal{F}^{N}_{\mu\nu} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} - V(\mathcal{M}, e).$$
[Hohm, HS]

"potential"

$$V(\mathcal{M}, e) = \frac{1}{24} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \partial_M \mathcal{M}^{KL} \left(12 \partial_L \mathcal{M}_{NK} - \partial_N \mathcal{M}_{KL} \right) - \frac{1}{2} g^{-1} \partial_M g \partial_N \mathcal{M}^{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}^{MN} g^{-1} \partial_M g g^{-1} \partial_N g - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \partial_M g^{\mu\nu} \partial_N g_{\mu\nu}$$

- $-\ invariant\ under\ generalized\ diffeomorphisms$
- generalized (internal) curvature scalar



$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \hat{R} + \frac{1}{24} g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu M} \mathcal{F}^{N}_{\mu\nu} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} - V(\mathcal{M}, e).$$

unique two-derivative action with generalized diffeomorphism invariance

- > modulo section constraints
- > internal Λ^M & external ξ^{μ} diffeomorphisms
- > uniquely fixed by bosonic symmetries (but can be supersymmetrized)



$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \hat{R} + \frac{1}{24} g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu M} \mathcal{F}^{N}_{\mu\nu} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} - V(\mathcal{M}, e).$$

unique two-derivative action with generalized diffeomorphism invariance modulo section constraints

- > internal Λ^M & external ξ^{μ} diffeomorphisms
- > uniquely fixed by bosonic symmetries (but can be supersymmetrized)

section constraint admits two inequivalent solutions $d^{KMN} \partial_M \otimes \partial_N = 0$

$$\mathsf{IID:} \ \partial_M \ \rightarrow \ \{\partial_m, \partial^{mn}, \partial^{mnpqr}\}$$

$$\mathsf{IIB:} \ \partial_M \rightarrow \{\partial_i, \partial^{\mathbf{k}}, \partial^{\mathbf{k}}, \partial^{\mathbf{k}}, \partial^{\mathbf{k}} \}$$

together with proper dictionary of ExFT fields into IID/IIB supergravity

$$\mathcal{M}_{11\mathrm{D}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{kn} & \mathcal{M}_{k}^{mn} & \mathcal{M}_{k}^{mnpqr} \\ \mathcal{M}_{kl}^{kl} & \mathcal{M}_{kl,mn}^{kl,mn} & \mathcal{M}_{kl,mnpqr}^{kl,mnpqr} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{IIB}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{im} & \mathcal{M}_{i\alpha}^{mnp} & \mathcal{M}_{i\alpha}^{\beta} & \mathcal{M}_{i}^{m\beta} \\ \mathcal{M}_{ijk,mp}^{ijk,mp} & \mathcal{M}_{ijk,mp}^{ijk,mp} \\ \mathcal{M}_{im}^{\alpha} & \mathcal{M}_{i,mnp}^{\alpha} & \mathcal{M}_{i,mp}^{\alpha} \\ \mathcal{M}_{im}^{\alpha} & \mathcal{M}_{i,mnp}^{\alpha} & \mathcal{M}_{i,mp}^{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

the ExFT equations of motion reproduce full IID/IIB supergravity



E₆₍₆₎ exceptional field theory



section constraint admits two inequivalent solutions $d^{KMN} \partial_M \otimes \partial_N = 0$

 $\mathsf{IID:} \ \partial_M \to \{\partial_m, \partial^{mn}, \partial^{mn}, \partial^{mn} \} \qquad \mathsf{IIB:} \ \partial_M \to \{\partial_i, \partial^{ijk}, \partial^{k}, \partial^{k}, \partial^{j\alpha}\}$

the ExFT equations of motion reproduce full IID/IIB supergravity



Exceptional field theories

similar construction can be done for all finite-dimensional duality groups group G, representation $\mathscr{R}_{v} \ni \Lambda^{M}$ closure of generalized diffeomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}V^{M} = \Lambda^{N}\partial_{N}V^{M} - \kappa(\partial_{L}\Lambda^{K})(t^{\alpha})_{K}{}^{L}(t_{\alpha})_{N}{}^{M}V^{N}$ \longrightarrow section constraints $\bigvee_{KI}{}^{MN}\partial_{M}\otimes\partial_{N} \equiv 0$



define invariant action functionals

 $V = \gamma^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{12} M^{MN} (\partial_M M^{KL}) (\partial_N M_{KL}) - \frac{1}{2} M^{MN} (\partial_N M^{KL}) (\partial_L M_{MK}) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{12} M^{MN} (M^{KL} \partial_M M_{KL}) (M^{RS} \partial_N M_{RS}) + \frac{1}{4} M^{MN} M^{PQ} (M^{RS} \partial_P M_{RS}) (\partial_M M_{NQ}) \right)$

[Berman, Godazgar, Perry, West] [Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram]

extend to external coordinates, tensor hierarchy \longrightarrow ExFT (full sugra)

$$\mathcal{L} = \widehat{R} + \frac{1}{24} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{D}^{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu N} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} - V_{\text{pot}}(\mathcal{M}_{MN}, g_{\mu\nu})$$

[Hohm, H.S.] [Hohm, Wang][Abzalov, Bakhmatov, Musaev] [Berman, Blair, Malek, Rudolph]



ENS Lyon

Exceptional field theories

similar construction can be done for all finite-dimensional duality groups group G, representation $\mathscr{R}_{v} \ni \Lambda^{M}$ closure of generalized diffeomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}V^{M} = \Lambda^{N}\partial_{N}V^{M} - \kappa(\partial_{L}\Lambda^{K})(t^{\alpha})_{K}{}^{L}(t_{\alpha})_{N}{}^{M}V^{N}$ \longrightarrow section constraints $\bigvee_{KL}{}^{MN}\partial_{M}\otimes\partial_{N} \equiv 0$



define invariant action functionals

 $V = \gamma^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{12} M^{MN} (\partial_M M^{KL}) (\partial_N M_{KL}) - \frac{1}{2} M^{MN} (\partial_N M^{KL}) (\partial_L M_{MK}) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{12} M^{MN} (M^{KL} \partial_M M_{KL}) (M^{RS} \partial_N M_{RS}) + \frac{1}{4} M^{MN} M^{PQ} (M^{RS} \partial_P M_{RS}) (\partial_M M_{NQ}) \right)$

[Berman, Godazgar, Perry, West] [Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram]

extend to external coordinates, tensor hierarchy ----> ExFT (full sugra)

$$\mathcal{L} = \widehat{R} + \frac{1}{24} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{D}^{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}{}^{M} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu N} + \mathcal{L}_{top} - V_{pot}(\mathcal{M}_{MN}, g_{\mu\nu})$$

[Hohm, H.S.] [Hohm, Wang][Abzalov, Bakhmatov, Musaev] [Berman, Blair, Malek, Rudolph]

works perfectly for the groups GL(2), $SL(2) \times SL(3)$, SL(5), SO(5,5), $E_{6(6)}$, $E_{7(7)}$





despite non-closure of the algebra, one can construct invariant action functionals!

$$V(\mathcal{M},g) = -\frac{1}{240} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \partial_M \mathcal{M}^{KL} \partial_N \mathcal{M}_{KL} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \partial_M \mathcal{M}^{KL} \partial_L \mathcal{M}_{NK} + \frac{1}{7200} f^{NQ}{}_P f^{MS}{}_R \mathcal{M}^{PK} \partial_M \mathcal{M}_{QK} \mathcal{M}^{RL} \partial_N \mathcal{M}_{SL} - \frac{1}{2} g^{-1} \partial_M g \, \partial_N \mathcal{M}^{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}^{MN} g^{-1} \partial_M g \, g^{-1} \partial_N g - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \partial_M g^{\mu\nu} \partial_N g_{\mu\nu}$$

[Hohm, H.S.]

ENS Lyon

E₈₍₈₎ generalized diffeomorphisms close into additional symmetries ...!





generalized Lie derivative for $E_{8(8)}$ $\Lambda^M \in \mathcal{R}_{248}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}V^{M} = \Lambda^{N}\partial_{N}V^{M} - \kappa (\partial_{L}\Lambda^{K})(\mathbf{t}^{\alpha})_{K}{}^{L}(\mathbf{t}_{\alpha})_{N}{}^{M}V^{N}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma} V^M = -\Sigma_K f^{KM}{}_N V^N$ additional symmetries (constrained rotations) with parameter Σ_M constrained analogous to the section constraints $\mathbb{Y}_{KL}^{MN} \Sigma_M \Sigma_N \equiv 0 \qquad \mathbb{Y}_{KL}^{MN} \Sigma_M \partial_N \equiv 0$ the full algebra closes ! $\left[\delta_{(\Lambda_1,\Sigma_1)}, \delta_{(\Lambda_2,\Sigma_2)}\right] = \delta_{(\Lambda_{12},\Sigma_{12})}$ $\Sigma_{12M} \equiv -2\Sigma_{[2M}\partial_N\Lambda_{1]}^N + 2\Lambda_{[2}^N\partial_N\Sigma_{1]M} - 2\Sigma_{[2}^N\partial_M\Lambda_{1]N} + f^N{}_{KL}\Lambda_{[2}^K\partial_M\partial_N\Lambda_{1]}^L$ ExFT requires additional gauge connection $B_{\mu M}$ $D_{\mu}V^{M} = \partial_{\mu}V^{M} - A_{\mu}{}^{K}\partial_{K}V^{M} + 60 \mathbb{P}^{M}{}_{N}{}^{K}{}_{L} \partial_{K}A_{\mu}{}^{L}V^{N} + B_{\mu}{}^{L}f^{M}{}_{NL}V^{N}$ constrained, not present in the dimensionally reduced theory related to the dual graviton ..

ENS Lyon ENS de LYON

applications: consistent truncations – spheres





$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \hat{R} + \frac{1}{24} g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu M} \mathcal{F}^{N}_{\mu\nu} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} - V(\mathcal{M}, e).$$







ENS de Lyon



ENS de Lyon





[Kaloper, Myers, Dabholkar, Hull, Reid-Edwards, Dall'Agata, Prezas, HS, Trigiante, Hohm, Kwak, Aldazabal, Baron, Nunez, Marqués, Geissbühler, Graña, Berman, Musaev, Thompson, Rosabal, Lee, Strickland-Constable, Waldram, Dibitetto, Roest, Malek, Blumenhagen, Hassler, Lust, Cho, Fernández-Melgarejo, Jeon, Park, Guarino, Varela, Inverso, Ciceri, ...]

Henning Samtleben



ENS de Lyon



AdS₅ x S⁵ : lowest KK-multiplet \longrightarrow D=5 maximal supergravity non-linear embedding in IIB such that any D=5 solution defines a IIB solution $ds^2 = \Delta^{-2/3}(x,y) g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + G_{mn}(x,y) (dy^m + \mathcal{K}_{[ab]}{}^m(y) A^{ab}_{\mu}(x) dx^{\mu}) (dy^n + \mathcal{K}_{[cd]}{}^n(y) A^{cd}_{\nu}(x) dx^{\nu})$ $G^{mn}(x,y) = \Delta^{2/3}(x,y) \mathcal{K}_{[ab]}{}^m(y) \mathcal{K}_{[cd]}{}^n(y) M^{ab,cd}(x)$ etc.





AdS₅ x S⁵ : lowest KK-multiplet — D=5 maximal supergravity
 non-linear embedding in IIB such that any D=5 solution defines a IIB solution

$$ls^{2} = \Delta^{-2/3}(x,y) g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + G_{mn}(x,y) \left(dy^{m} + \mathcal{K}_{[ab]}{}^{m}(y) A_{\mu}^{ab}(x) dx^{\mu} \right) \left(dy^{n} + \mathcal{K}_{[cd]}{}^{n}(y) A_{\nu}^{cd}(x) dx^{\nu} \right) G^{mn}(x,y) = \Delta^{2/3}(x,y) \mathcal{K}_{[ab]}{}^{m}(y) \mathcal{K}_{[cd]}{}^{n}(y) M^{ab,cd}(x)$$
etc.

construction of IIB solutions

- holography: trust D=5 supergravity calculations
- used to be scarce (only few examples until recently)

 $AdS_4 \times S^7$: [de Wit, Nicolai] 1987

AdS₇ x S⁴ : [Nastase, van Nieuwenhuizen, Vaman] 1999













in terms of an E₆₍₆₎—valued twist matrix ${U_M}^N(Y)$ and scale factor ho(Y)

- **system of consistency equations** $\left[(U^{-1})_M{}^P (U^{-1})_N{}^L \partial_P U_L{}^K \right]_{351} \stackrel{!}{=} \rho X_{MN}{}^K$
- **generalized (Leibniz) parallelizability** $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}_M} \mathcal{U}_N = X_{MN}{}^K \mathcal{U}_K$
- no general classification of its solutions (Lie algebras vs Leibniz algebras)

Henning Samtleben

ENS de Lyon



in terms of an E₆₍₆₎-valued twist matrix $~U_{M}{}^{N}(Y)$ and scale factor ~
ho(Y)

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1/2} \partial_i \mathcal{Y}^A \\ \mathcal{Y}^A - 2 \zeta^i \partial_i \mathcal{Y}^A \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(6) \subset \mathrm{E}_{6(6)}$$

ENS de Lyon

built from sphere harmonics & volume form

e.g. metric (standard Kaluza-Klein form)

$$ds^{2} = \Delta^{-2/3}(x,y) g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + G_{mn}(x,y) \left(dy^{m} + \mathcal{K}_{[ab]}{}^{m}(y) A_{\mu}^{ab}(x) dx^{\mu} \right) \left(dy^{n} + \mathcal{K}_{[cd]}{}^{n}(y) A_{\nu}^{cd}(x) dx^{\nu} \right)$$
$$G^{mn}(x,y) = \Delta^{2/3}(x,y) \mathcal{K}_{[ab]}{}^{m}(y) \mathcal{K}_{[cd]}{}^{n}(y) M^{ab,cd}(x)$$

e.g. 4-form (after reconstructing all components, in Kaluza-Klein basis)

$$\begin{split} C_{klmn} &= \tilde{C}_{klmn} + \frac{1}{16} \tilde{\omega}_{klmnp} \Delta^{4/3} m_{a\beta} \tilde{G}^{pq} \partial_q (\Delta^{-4/3} m^{a\beta}), \\ C_{\mu kmn} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \mathcal{Z}_{[ab]kmn} A_{\mu}{}^{ab}, \\ C_{\mu \nu mn} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \mathcal{K}_{[ab]}{}^k \mathcal{Z}_{[cd]kmn} A_{[\mu}{}^{ab} A_{\nu]}{}^{cd}, \\ C_{\mu \nu m\rho} &= -\frac{1}{32} \mathcal{K}_{[ab]m} \left(2\sqrt{|\mathbf{g}|} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau} M_{ab,N} F^{\sigma\tau N} + \sqrt{2} \varepsilon_{abcdef} \Omega_{\mu\nu\rho}^{cdef} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{2} \mathcal{K}_{[ab]}{}^k \mathcal{K}_{[cd]}{}^l \mathcal{Z}_{[ef]mkl} (A_{[\mu}{}^{ab} A_{\nu}{}^{cd} A_{\rho]}{}^{ef}), \\ C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= -\frac{1}{16} \mathcal{Y}_a \mathcal{Y}^b \left(\sqrt{|\mathbf{g}|} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau} D^{\tau} M_{bc,N} M^{Nca} + 2\sqrt{2} \varepsilon_{cdefgb} F_{[\mu\nu}{}^{cd} A_{\rho}{}^{ef} A_{\sigma]}{}^{ga} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{2} \mathcal{K}_{[ab]}{}^k \mathcal{K}_{[cd]}{}^l \mathcal{K}_{[ef]}{}^n \mathcal{Z}_{[gh]kln} - \mathcal{Y}_h \mathcal{Y}^j \varepsilon_{abcegj} \eta_{df} \right) A_{[\mu}{}^{ab} A_{\nu}{}^{cd} A_{\rho}{}^{ef} A_{\sigma]}{}^{gh} + \Lambda_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (x). \\ &+ \frac{1}{10} \sqrt{|\mathbf{g}|} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau} \mathcal{Y}^h (\mathrm{IM}^{ecd} + \mathcal{X}^{elccd}) \eta_{cdm}} + \frac{1}{16} \mathcal{Y}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{Y}^{ad} \mathcal{Y}^{cd} \mathcal{Y}^{d} \mathcal{Y}^{$$

proves the consistent truncation of IIB on AdS₅ x S⁵

Henning Samtleben

ENS de Lyon

applications: other consistent truncations

hyperboloids product manifolds











other consistent truncations: hyperboloids



other consistent truncations: hyperboloids



other consistent truncations: hyperboloids



associated to SO(p,q) and CSO(p,q,r)

background: (warped) hyperboloids [Hull, Warner] [Baron, Dall'Agata]

ENS de Lyon

in general no IIB solutions, still consistent truncations!

a priori generalized parallelizability is not inherited to products

 $S^p \times S^q$, $S^p \times H^q$, etc..

possible for compactifications to D=4, described within $E_{7(7)}$ ExFT based on electric/magnetic split of internal coordinates $G = E_{7(7)} \subset Sp(56)$, $\mathcal{R}_v = 56$ $\{Y^M\} \longrightarrow \{Y^{AB}, Y_{AB}\}$ A, B = 1,...,8



a priori generalized parallelizability is not inherited to products

 $S^p \times S^q$, $S^p \times H^q$, etc..

possible for compactifications to D=4, described within $E_{7(7)}$ ExFT based on electric/magnetic split of internal coordinates $G = \mathcal{E}_{7(7)} \subset \operatorname{Sp}(56) , \quad \mathcal{R}_{v} = 56 \qquad \{Y^{M}\} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \{Y^{AB}, Y_{AB}\} \qquad A, B = 1, \dots, 8$ $U(Y) = \overset{\circ}{U}(y) \widetilde{U}(\widetilde{y})$ $S^p \times S^q$ Scherk-Schwarz product ansatz $\overset{\circ}{U}(y) : \{y^i\} = \{Y^{i8}\} \subset \{Y^{AB}\} \qquad \overset{\circ}{U}(\tilde{y}) : \{\tilde{y}_a\} = \{Y_{a7}\} \subset \{Y_{AB}\}$ p+q 6: S6 $\{y^1, y^2, y^3, y^4, y^5, y^6\}$ IIA 5: S⁵ x S¹ $\{y^1, y^2, y^3, y^4, y^5, \tilde{y}_6\}$ IIB 4: S⁴ x S² $\{y^1, y^2, y^3, y^4, \tilde{y}_5, \tilde{y}_6\}$ IIA 3: $S^3 \times S^3 = \{y^1, y^2, y^3, \tilde{y}_4, \tilde{y}_5, \tilde{y}_6\}$ IIB

induces D=4 dyonic gaugings $(SO(p,q) \times SO(p',q')) \ltimes N$ [Dall'Agata, Inverso]



other consistent truncations: product manifolds [Inverso, HS, Trigiante]



YON

other consistent truncations: product manifolds [Inverso, HS, Trigiante]





other consistent truncations: product manifolds [Inverso, HS, Trigiante]



applications: Kaluza-Klein spectroscopy

[E. Malek, HS]







AdS₅ x S⁵ : lowest KK-multiplet — D=5 maximal supergravity
 non-linear embedding in IIB such that any D=5 solution defines a IIB solution







AdS₅ x S⁵ : lowest KK-multiplet — D=5 maximal supergravity
 non-linear embedding in IIB such that any D=5 solution defines a IIB solution



in ExFT variables $\mathcal{M}_{MN}(x,Y) = U_M{}^K(Y) M_{KL}(x) U_N{}^L(Y)$ $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^M(x,Y) = \rho^{-1}(Y) (U^{-1})_K{}^M(Y) A_{\mu}{}^K(x)$ $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y) U_M{}^K(Y) B_{\mu\nu K}(x)$

what about the higher Kaluza-Klein modes?



ENS Lyon

b traditionally: expand fluctuations in S^5 sphere harmonics

10D scalar:
$$\phi(x,y) = \sum_{\Sigma} \phi_{\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}(y) = \sum_{n} \phi_{[n,0,0]}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{[n,0,0]} \qquad \mathcal{Y}^{[n,0,0]} = \mathcal{Y}^{((A_{1}} \dots \mathcal{Y}^{A_{n}))}$$

10D internal metric: $g_{kl}(x,y) = \sum_{n} g_{[n,0,0]}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{[n,0,0]}_{kl} + \sum_{n} g_{[n,0,0]}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{[n,1,1]}_{kl} + \sum_{n} g_{[n,2,2]}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{[n,2,2]}_{kl}$

etc.





ENS Lyon

b traditionally: expand fluctuations in S^5 sphere harmonics

10D scalar:
$$\phi(x,y) = \sum_{\Sigma} \phi_{\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}(y) = \sum_{n} \phi_{[n,0,0]}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{[n,0,0]} \qquad \mathcal{Y}^{[n,0,0]} = \mathcal{Y}^{((A_{1}} \dots \mathcal{Y}^{A_{n}))}$$

D internal metric: $g_{kl}(x,y) = \sum_{n} g_{[n,0,0]}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{[n,0,0]}_{kl} + \sum_{n} g_{[n,0,0]}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{[n,1,1]}_{kl} + \sum_{n} g_{[n,2,2]}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{[n,2,2]}_{kl}$

etc.

10

linearize & diagonalize field equations ----- mass spectrum

Spin	Field $h'_{\mu\nu} = H^{I_1}_{\mu\nu} Y^{I_1}$	Masses on S ⁵		Irred. reps.
2		$M^2 = e^2 k(k+4)$	$(k \ge 0)$	1,6,20,
,	$h_{\alpha\mu} = B_{\mu}^{I_S} Y_{\alpha}^{I_S}$	$M^2 = e^2(k-1)(k+1)$	$(k \ge 1)$	15,64,175,
1	$a_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} = \phi_{\mu}^{\prime5} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\delta\epsilon} D_{\delta} Y_{\epsilon}^{\prime5}$	$M^2 = e^2(k+3)(k+5)$	$(k \ge 1)$	15,64,175,
0	$h_a^a = \pi^{I_1} Y^{I_1}$	$M^2 = e^2 k(k-4)$	$(k \ge 2)$	20, 50,
0	$a_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = b^{I_1} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \epsilon D_{\epsilon} Y^{I_1}$	$M^2 = e^2(k+4)(k+8)$	$(k \ge 0)$	1, 6, 20,
0	$h_{(\alpha\beta)} = \phi^{I_{14}} Y^{I_{14}}_{(\alpha\beta)}$	$M^2 = e^2 k(k+4)$	$(k \ge 2)$	84,300,
0	$B = B^{I_1} Y^{I_1}$	$M^2 = e^2 k(k+4)$	$(k \ge 0)$	1 _c ,6 _c ,20 _c ,
ant	$a_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = b_{\mu\nu}^{I_{10,\pm}} Y_{[\alpha\beta]}^{I_{10,\pm}}$	$M^2 = e^2(k+2)^2$	$(k \ge 1)$	10 _c ,45 _c ,
	I_{1}	$M^2 = e^2 k^2$	$(k \ge 1)$	6 _c ,20 _c ,
ant	$A_{\mu\nu} = a_{\mu\nu} Y$	$M^2 = e^2(k+4)^2$	$(k \ge 0)$	1 _c ,6 _c ,
1	$A_{\mu\alpha} = a_{\mu}^{I_5} Y_{\alpha}^{I_5}$	$M^2 = e^2(k+1)(k+3)$	$(k \ge 1)$	15 _c ,64 _c ,
0	$I_{10,\pm} v_{10,\pm}^{I_{10,\pm}}$	$M^2 = e^2(k-2)(k+2)$	$(k \ge 1)$	10 _c ,45 _c ,
0	$A_{\alpha\beta} = d \cdots I_{[\alpha\beta]}$	$M^2 = e^2(k+2)(k+6)$	$(k \ge 1)$	10 _c ,45 _c ,
3	$I_L = I_L$	M = ek	$(k \ge 0)$	4,20,
2	$\psi_{\mu} = \psi_{\mu}^{-} \equiv -$	$M = -e(k + \frac{10}{2})$	$(k \ge 0)$	4*,20*,
1	$d_{I} = d^{I}T \equiv^{I}T$	$M = e(k + \frac{5}{2})$	$(k \ge 0)$	36*,140*,
2	$\psi_{(\alpha)} - \psi \equiv_{\alpha}$	$M = -e(k + \frac{9}{2})$	$(k \ge 0)$	36, 140,
1	$\psi_{(-)} = \psi^{I_L} D_{(-)} \Xi^{I_L} + \chi_{T} n^+$	$M = e(k + \frac{11}{2})$	$(k \ge 0)$	4,20,
2	$\varphi(\alpha) = \varphi = \varphi(\alpha) = - + \lambda I \alpha \eta$	$M = -e(k - \frac{1}{2})$	$(k \ge 1)$	20*,
1	$1 - 1^{I_L} = I_L$	$\int M = e(k + \frac{7}{2})$	$(k \ge 0)$	4,20,
2	~=~ _	$M = -e(k + \frac{3}{2})$	$(k \ge 0)$	4*,20*,





b traditionally: expand fluctuations in S^5 sphere harmonics

10D scalar:
$$\phi(x,y) = \sum_{\Sigma} \phi_{\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}(y) = \sum_{n} \phi_{[n,0,0]}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{[n,0,0]} \qquad \mathcal{Y}^{[n,0,0]} = \mathcal{Y}^{((A_{1}} \dots \mathcal{Y}^{A_{n}))}$$

10D internal metric: $g_{kl}(x,y) = \sum_{n} g_{[n,0,0]}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{[n,0,0]}_{kl} + \sum_{n} g_{[n,0,0]}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{[n,1,1]}_{kl} + \sum_{n} g_{[n,2,2]}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{[n,2,2]}_{kl}$

etc.

linearize & diagonalize field equations ---> mass spectrum
 combine into 1/2-BPS multiplets $\mathscr{B}_{[2,0,0](0,0)} \oplus \mathscr{B}_{[3,0,0](0,0)} \oplus \mathscr{B}_{[4,0,0](0,0)}$

TABLE III. Complete mass spectrum.						
Spin	Field	Masses on S ⁵		Irred. reps.		
2	$h'_{\mu\nu} = H^{I_1}_{\mu\nu} Y^{I_1}$	$M^2 = e^2 k(k+4)$	$(k \ge 0)$	1,6,20,		
1	$h_{\alpha\mu} = B_{\mu}^{I_{5}} Y_{\alpha}^{I_{5}}$	$M^2 = e^2(k-1)(k+1)$	$(k \ge 1)$	15,64,175,		
•	$a_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} = \phi_{\mu}^{5} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{5\epsilon} D_{\delta} Y_{\epsilon}^{5}$	$M^2 = e^2(k+3)(k+5)$	$(k \ge 1)$	15,64,175,		
	$h_{a}^{a} = \pi^{I_{1}} Y^{I_{1}}$	$M^2 = e^2 k(k-4)$	(k > 2)	20.50		
0	$a_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = b^{I_1} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \epsilon D_{\epsilon} Y^{I_1}$	$M^2 = e^2(k+4)(k+8)$	$(k \ge 0)$	1, 6, 20,		
0	$h_{(\alpha\beta)} = \phi^{I_{14}} Y^{I_{14}}_{(\alpha\beta)}$	$M^2 = e^2 k(k+4)$	$(k \ge 2)$	84, 300,		
0	$\boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{B}^{I_1} \boldsymbol{Y}^{I_1}$	$M^2 = e^2 k(k+4)$	$(k \ge 0)$	$1_c, 6_c, 20_c, \ldots$		
ant	$a_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = b_{\mu\nu}^{I_{10,\pm}} Y_{[\alpha\beta]}^{I_{10,\pm}}$	$M^2 = e^2(k+2)^2$	$(k \ge 1)$	10 _c ,45 _c ,		
		$M^2 = e^2 k^2$	$(k \ge 1)$	6 _c ,20 _c ,		
int	$A_{\mu\nu} = a_{\mu\nu}^{-1} Y^{-1}$	$M^2 = e^2(k+4)^2$	$(k \ge 0)$	1 _c ,6 _c ,		
1	$A_{\mu\alpha} = a_{\mu}^{I_{5}} Y_{\alpha}^{I_{5}}$	$M^2 = e^2(k+1)(k+3)$	$(k \ge 1)$	15 _c ,64 _c ,		
0	$A_{a\beta} = a^{I_{10,\pm}} Y^{I_{10,\pm}}_{[a\beta]}$	$M^2 = e^2(k-2)(k+2)$	$(k \ge 1)$	10 _c ,45 _c ,		
		$M^2 = e^2(k+2)(k+6)$	$(k \ge 1)$	10 _c ,45 _c ,		
3	, , ^I I , , ^I I	M = ek	$(k \ge 0)$	4,20,		
2	$\psi_{\mu} = \psi_{\mu}^{-} \Xi^{-\nu}$	$M = -e(k + \frac{10}{2})$	$(k \ge 0)$	4*,20*,		
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\psi_{(\alpha)} \!=\! \psi^{l_T} \Xi_{\alpha}^{l_T}$	$M = e(k + \frac{5}{2})$	$(k \ge 0)$	36*,140*,		
		$M = -e(k + \frac{9}{2})$	$(k \ge 0)$	36, 140,		
1	$\psi_{(\alpha)} = \psi^{I_L} D_{(\alpha)} \Xi^{I_L} + \chi \tau_\alpha \eta^+$	$M = e(k + \frac{11}{2})$	$(k \ge 0)$	4,20,		
2		$M = -e(k - \frac{1}{2})$	$(k \ge 1)$	20*,		
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\lambda = \lambda^{I_L} \Xi^{I_L}$	$M = e(k + \frac{7}{2})$	$(k \ge 0)$	4,20,		
		$M = -e(k + \frac{3}{2})$	$(k \ge 0)$	4*,20*,		



[Kim, Romans, van Nieuwenhuizen]

$ \begin{array}{l} [n,00](00) \\ [n-1,10](0\frac{1}{2}) + [n-1,01](\frac{1}{2}0) \\ [n-2,02](00) + [n-2,20](00) + [n-1,00](01) + [n-1,00](10) + [n-2,11](\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}) \\ [n-2,10](0\frac{1}{2}) + [n-3,12](0\frac{1}{2}) + [n-2,01](\frac{1}{2}0) + [n-3,21](\frac{1}{2}0) + [n-2,01](\frac{1}{2}1) + [n-2,10](1\frac{1}{2}) \\ 2[n-2,00](00) + [n-4,22](00) + [n-3,02](01) + [n-3,20](10) + 2[n-3,11](\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}) + [n-2,00](11) \\ [n-3,10](0\frac{1}{2}) + [n-4,12](0\frac{1}{2}) + [n-3,01](\frac{1}{2}0) + [n-4,21](\frac{1}{2}0) + [n-3,01](\frac{1}{2}1) + [n-3,10](1\frac{1}{2}) \\ [n-4,02](00) + [n-4,20](00) + [n-3,00](01) + [n-3,00](10) + [n-4,11](\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}) \\ [n-4,10](0\frac{1}{2}) + [n-4,01](\frac{1}{2}0) \\ [n-4,00](00) \end{array} $	$1/2$ -BPS $\mathscr{B}_{[n,0,0](0,0)}$						
	$ [n, 00](00) [n - 1, 10](0\frac{1}{2}) + [n - 1, 01](\frac{1}{2}0) [n - 2, 02](00) + [n - 2, 20](00) + [n - 1, 00](01) + [n - 1, 00](10) + [n - 2, 11](\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}) [n - 2, 10](0\frac{1}{2}) + [n - 3, 12](0\frac{1}{2}) + [n - 2, 01](\frac{1}{2}0) + [n - 3, 21](\frac{1}{2}0) + [n - 2, 01](\frac{1}{2}1) + [n - 2, 10](1\frac{1}{2}) 2[n - 2, 00](00) + [n - 4, 22](00) + [n - 3, 02](01) + [n - 3, 20](10) + 2[n - 3, 11](\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}) + [n - 2, 00](11) [n - 3, 10](0\frac{1}{2}) + [n - 4, 12](0\frac{1}{2}) + [n - 3, 01](\frac{1}{2}0) + [n - 4, 21](\frac{1}{2}0) + [n - 3, 01](\frac{1}{2}1) + [n - 3, 10](1\frac{1}{2}) [n - 4, 02](00) + [n - 4, 20](00) + [n - 3, 00](01) + [n - 3, 00](10) + [n - 4, 11](\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}) [n - 4, 10](0\frac{1}{2}) + [n - 4, 01](\frac{1}{2}0) [n - 4, 00](00) $						



ENS Lyon

⊕ ...

lowest KK-multiplet > in ExFT variables

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-1}(Y) (U^{-1})_{K}{}^{M}(Y) A_{\mu}{}^{K}(x) \qquad \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y) U_{M}{}^{K}(Y) B_{\mu\nu K}(x)$

extend to higher Kaluza-Klein modes > with the tower of scalar harmonics Σ

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-1}(Y) (U^{-1})_{K}{}^{M}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} A_{\mu}{}^{K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$
$$\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y) U_{M}{}^{K}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} B_{\mu\nu K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$



Iowest KK-multiplet > in ExFT variables

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-1}(Y) (U^{-1})_{K}{}^{M}(Y) A_{\mu}{}^{K}(x) \qquad \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y) U_{M}{}^{K}(Y) B_{\mu\nu K}(x)$

 \blacktriangleright extend to higher Kaluza-Klein modes \rightarrow with the tower of scalar harmonics Σ

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-1}(Y) (U^{-1})_{K}{}^{M}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} A_{\mu}{}^{K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$
$$\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y) U_{M}{}^{K}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} B_{\mu\nu K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$

reproduces the full Kaluza-Klein spectrum

combining the lowest KK-multiplet with the tower of scalar fluctuations

for fixed $\Sigma = [n,0,0]$, this combines all fluctuations of the multiplet $\mathscr{B}_{[n,0,0](0,0)}$

> mixing of different states occurs from the ExFT – IIB dictionary and upon evaluating the product $U_M{}^K(Y) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}(Y)$



Iowest KK-multiplet > in ExFT variables

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-1}(Y) (U^{-1})_{K}{}^{M}(Y) A_{\mu}{}^{K}(x) \qquad \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y) U_{M}{}^{K}(Y) B_{\mu\nu K}(x)$

 \blacktriangleright extend to higher Kaluza-Klein modes \rightarrow with the tower of scalar harmonics Σ

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-1}(Y) (U^{-1})_{K}{}^{M}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} A_{\mu}{}^{K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$
$$\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y) U_{M}{}^{K}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} B_{\mu\nu K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$

reproduces the full Kaluza-Klein spectrum

combining the lowest KK-multiplet with the tower of scalar fluctuations

for fixed $\Sigma = [n,0,0]$, this combines all fluctuations of the multiplet $\mathscr{B}_{[n,0,0](0,0)}$

- > mixing of different states occurs from the ExFT IIB dictionary and upon evaluating the product $U_M{}^K(Y) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}(Y)$
- simple and compact (re-)derivation of the supergravity spectrum on S^5
- direct identification of BPS multiplet components within IIB supergravity



$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-1}(Y) (U^{-1})_{K}{}^{M}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} A_{\mu}{}^{K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$
$$\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu\,M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y) U_{M}{}^{K}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} B_{\mu\nu\,K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$

linear in fluctuations, exact in the lowest Kaluza-Klein multiplet

> allows to switch on non-vanishing background for the D=5 supergravity scalars !



$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-1}(Y) (U^{-1})_{K}{}^{M}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} A_{\mu}{}^{K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$
$$\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu\,M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y) U_{M}{}^{K}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} B_{\mu\nu\,K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$

linear in fluctuations, exact in the lowest Kaluza-Klein multiplet

> allows to switch on non-vanishing background for the D=5 supergravity scalars !





$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-1}(Y) (U^{-1})_{K}{}^{M}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} A_{\mu}{}^{K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$
$$\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu\,M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y) U_{M}{}^{K}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} B_{\mu\nu\,K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$

linear in fluctuations, exact in the lowest Kaluza-Klein multiplet

> allows to switch on non-vanishing background for the D=5 supergravity scalars !



If the above ansatz allows to compute the full KK spectrum around the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ point

- > so far only known for the 128 dof's from the supergravity multiplet [FGPW '99]
- IIB analysis would require harmonic analysis on a non-symmetric space & effect of non-vanishing p-form fluxes, possible in the spin-2 sector [Bachas, Estes]



$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-1}(Y) (U^{-1})_{K}{}^{M}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} A_{\mu}{}^{K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$
$$\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu\,M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y) U_{M}{}^{K}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} B_{\mu\nu\,K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$

If the above ansatz allows to compute the full KK spectrum around the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ point

plug into the ExFT action and linearize in fluctuations

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \hat{R} + \frac{1}{24} g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}^{MN} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \mathcal{M}_{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_{MN} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu M} \mathcal{F}^{N}_{\mu\nu} + e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} - V(\mathcal{M}, e).$$

e.g. mass matrix for vector fluctuations $A_{\mu}^{M,\Sigma}$

$$M_{M\Sigma,N\Omega} \propto \frac{1}{3} X_{ML}^{s} {}^{K} X_{NK}^{s} {}^{L} \delta^{\Sigma\Omega} + 2 \left(X_{MK}^{s} {}^{N} - X_{NM}^{s} {}^{K} \right) \mathcal{T}_{K,\Omega\Sigma} -6 \left(\mathbb{P}^{K} {}_{M} {}^{L} {}_{N} + \mathbb{P}^{M} {}_{K} {}^{L} {}_{N} \right) \mathcal{T}_{L,\Omega\Lambda} \mathcal{T}_{K,\Lambda\Sigma} + \frac{8}{3} \mathcal{T}_{N,\Omega\Lambda} \mathcal{T}_{M,\Lambda\Sigma}$$

in terms of

> symmetrized D=5 embedding tensor $X_{MN}^{s}{}^{K} \equiv X_{MN}{}^{K} + X_{MK}{}^{N}$ > adjoint projector $\mathbb{P}^{M}{}_{N}{}^{K}{}_{L} = (t^{\alpha})_{N}{}^{M}(t_{\alpha})_{L}{}^{K}$

> action of dressed Killing vector field $\mathcal{K}_M{}^m\partial_m\mathcal{Y}^\Sigma = \mathcal{T}_{M,\Sigma\Omega}\,\mathcal{Y}^\Omega$

Henning Samtleben



ENS Lyon

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-1}(Y) (U^{-1})_{K}{}^{M}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} A_{\mu}{}^{K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$
$$\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu\,M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y) U_{M}{}^{K}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} B_{\mu\nu\,K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$

b the above ansatz allows to compute the full KK spectrum around the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ point **e.g.** at level $\Sigma = [3,0,0]$ in multiplets $D(E_0, j_1, j_2; r)$ of $SU(2) \times SU(2,2 \mid 1)$ **0** : $D(1 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{37}, 0, 0; 1)_{\mathbb{C}} + D(1 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{61}, 0, 0; 1)_{\mathbb{C}} + D_{\mathbb{S}}(\frac{9}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1)_{\mathbb{C}} + 2D_{\mathbb{S}}(\frac{9}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; -1)_{\mathbb{C}} + D(\frac{9}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; 1)_{\mathbb{C}}$ $\frac{1}{2}$: $D(1 + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{145}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{C}} + D(1 + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{193}, 0, 0; \frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{C}} + D(\frac{15}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{C}} + D(\frac{17}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; -\frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{C}} + D_{\mathbb{S}}(\frac{15}{4}, 0, 0; \frac{5}{2})_{\mathbb{C}} + D_{\mathbb{S}}(\frac{17}{4}, 0, 0; \frac{3}{2})_{\mathbb{C}}$ **1** : $2D(1 + \sqrt{7}, 0, 0; 0) + D(1 + \sqrt{7}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; 0)_{\mathbb{C}} + D_{\mathbb{S}}(\frac{7}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; 1)_{\mathbb{C}} + D_{\mathbb{S}}(3, \frac{1}{2}, 0; 2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ $\frac{3}{2}$: $D_{\mathbb{S}}(\frac{9}{4}, 0, 0; \frac{3}{2})_{\mathbb{C}}$



$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-1}(Y) (U^{-1})_{K}{}^{M}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} A_{\mu}{}^{K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$
$$\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y) U_{M}{}^{K}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} B_{\mu\nu K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$

b the above ansatz allows to compute the full KK spectrum around the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ point **e.g.** at level $\Sigma = [3,0,0]$ in multiplets $D(E_0, j_1, j_2; r)$ of $SU(2) \times SU(2,2 \mid 1)$ **0** : $D(1 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{37}, 0, 0; 1)_{\mathbb{C}} + D(1 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{61}, 0, 0; 1)_{\mathbb{C}} + D_{S}(\frac{9}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1)_{\mathbb{C}} + 2D_{S}(\frac{9}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; -1)_{\mathbb{C}} + D(\frac{9}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; 1)_{\mathbb{C}}$ $\frac{1}{2}$: $D(1 + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{145}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{C}} + D(1 + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{193}, 0, 0; \frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{C}} + D(\frac{15}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{C}} + D(\frac{17}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; -\frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{C}} + D_{S}(\frac{15}{4}, 0, 0; \frac{5}{2})_{\mathbb{C}} + D_{S}(\frac{17}{4}, 0, 0; \frac{3}{2})_{\mathbb{C}}$ **1** : $2D(1 + \sqrt{7}, 0, 0; 0) + D(1 + \sqrt{7}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; 0)_{\mathbb{C}} + D_{S}(\frac{7}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; 1)_{\mathbb{C}} + D_{S}(3, \frac{1}{2}, 0; 2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ **3** : $D_{S}(\frac{9}{4}, 0, 0; \frac{3}{2})_{\mathbb{C}}$ in terms of near is chart and least multiplets

in terms of semi-short and long multiplets



$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu}{}^{M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-1}(Y) (U^{-1})_{K}{}^{M}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} A_{\mu}{}^{K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$
$$\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu M}(x,Y) = \rho^{-2}(Y) U_{M}{}^{K}(Y) \sum_{\Sigma} B_{\mu\nu K,\Sigma}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\Sigma}$$

b the above ansatz allows to compute the full KK spectrum around the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ point **e.g.** at level $\Sigma = [3,0,0]$ in multiplets $D(E_0, j_1, j_2; r)$ of $SU(2) \times SU(2,2 \mid 1)$ **0**: $D(1 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{37}, 0, 0; 1)_{\mathbb{C}} + D(1 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{61}, 0, 0; 1)_{\mathbb{C}} + D_{\mathbb{S}}(\frac{9}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1)_{\mathbb{C}} + 2D_{\mathbb{S}}(\frac{9}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; -1)_{\mathbb{C}} + D(\frac{9}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; 1)_{\mathbb{C}}$ $\frac{1}{2}$: $D(1 + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{145}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{C}} + D(1 + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{193}, 0, 0; \frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{C}} + D(\frac{15}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{C}} + D(\frac{17}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; -\frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{C}} + D_{\mathbb{S}}(\frac{15}{4}, 0, 0; \frac{5}{2})_{\mathbb{C}} + D_{\mathbb{S}}(\frac{17}{4}, 0, 0; \frac{3}{2})_{\mathbb{C}}$ **1**: $2D(1 + \sqrt{7}, 0, 0; 0) + D(1 + \sqrt{7}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; 0)_{\mathbb{C}} + D_{\mathbb{S}}(\frac{7}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; 1)_{\mathbb{C}} + D_{\mathbb{S}}(3, \frac{1}{2}, 0; 2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ $\frac{3}{2}$: $D_{\mathbb{S}}(\frac{9}{4}, 0, 0; \frac{3}{2})_{\mathbb{C}}$

in terms of **semi-short** and **long** multiplets



- [Malek, HS]
- ExFT offers access to the full KK spectrum around any AdS vacuum located within maximal D=5 supergravity
- the same applies to vacua in all other maximal supergravities
- some other interesting candidates:

 $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vacuum in D = 4 maximal SO(8) supergravity [Warner][Nicolai,Warner][Klebanov, Klose, Murugan][Klebanov, Pufu, Rocha]

- $\mathcal{N} = 3$ vacuum in D = 4 maximal ISO(7) supergravity, Romans' mIIA on S^6 [Guarino, Jafferis, Varela] \longrightarrow holography with Chern-Simons matter theories
- Stable (?) $\mathcal{N} = 0$ vacuum in D = 4 maximal SO(8) supergravity [Fischbacher, Pilch, Warner][Godazgar, Godazgar, Krüger, Nicolai, Pilch]

non-supersymmetric stable AdS vacua ?

Recent numerical scan of vacua in D = 4 maximal SO(8) supergravity [Comsa, Firsching, Fischbacher]

to be generalized to vacua in half-maximal (quarter-maximal) supergravities ...



. . .

other developments - conclusions



other examples of consistent truncations

- consistent truncations with less supersymmetry via DFT [Baguet, Malek, Pope, HS, Sarioglu]
 - > S³ reduction of the bosonic string
 - > more general: Pauli reduction of the bosonic string on group manifold G
 - > example G = SO*(4), type II uplift of D=4 Minkowski vacua
 - > $AdS_3 \times S^3$ reductions from 6D supergravity, N=(1,1) and N=(2,0) w tensor-multiplets

other examples of consistent truncations

- consistent truncations with less supersymmetry via DFT [Baguet, Malek, Pope, HS, Sarioglu]
 - > S³ reduction of the bosonic string
 - > more general: Pauli reduction of the bosonic string on group manifold G
 - > example G = SO*(4), type II uplift of D=4 Minkowski vacua
 - > $AdS_3 \times S^3$ reductions from 6D supergravity, N=(1,1) and N=(2,0) w tensor-multiplets
- consistent truncations with less supersymmetry in ExFT (in type II sugra) [Malek] [Malek, HS, Vall Camell] embedding of half-maximal supergravity into ExFT construction and classification of supersymmetric AdS vacua half-maximal supersymmetric AdS vacua induce consistent truncations around



ENS Lyon

ExFT for infinite dimensional Kac-Moody algebras affine E₉₍₉₎: infinite-dimensional highest-weight representations Gianluca's talk [Bossard, Cederwall, Ciceri, Inverso, Kleinschmidt, Palmkvist, HS] towards E₁₁ ExFT [Bossard, Kleinschmidt, Sezgin][West]

fermions & superspace

- $E_{7(7)}$: super-diffeomorphisms in (4 + 56 | 32)[Butter, HS, Sezgin] → [Howe, Lindström 1981]
- embedding of massive IIA theory
 - by deformations of ExFT
 - by Scherk-Schwarz reduction violating the section constraints
 - [Ciceri, Guarino, Inverso] [Cassani, de Felice, Petrini, Strickland-Constable, Waldram]

embedding of 'generalized IIB' theory

- background from η -deformed AdS₅ x S⁵ sigma model
- T-dual of IIA with non-isometric dilaton [Baguet, Magro, HS] [Sakatani, Uehara, Yoshida]



other applications / developments



exceptional field theory

- > manifestly duality covariant formulation of maximal supergravity
- > <u>unique</u> theory with generalized diffeomorphism invariance
- > upon an explicit solution of the section constraints the theory reproduces full D=11 supergravity and full D=10 IIB supergravity
- powerful tools for > consistent truncations
 - > construction of vacua
 - > Kaluza-Klein spectroscopy



exceptional field theory

- > manifestly duality covariant formulation of maximal supergravity
- > <u>unique</u> theory with generalized diffeomorphism invariance
- > upon an explicit solution of the section constraints the theory reproduces full D=11 supergravity <u>and</u> full D=10 IIB supergravity
- powerful tools for > consistent truncations
 - > construction of vacua
 - > Kaluza-Klein spectroscopy

challenges

- > higher order corrections ----> Diego's talk
- > decrease number of external dimensions \rightarrow unifying picture
- > weaken / relax section constraints

[Bossard, Kleinschmidt, Sezgin]

new variables for supergravity

- or hints towards a more fundamental structure ..?

ENS de Lyon