## BEAUTY, CONSISTENCY AND ETERNAL BLISS



SYMMETRIES, UNIFICATION AND THE SEARCH FOR QUANTUM GRAVITY

ALBERT EINSTEIN INSTITUT GOLM 6-8 SEPTEMBER 2012



Bernard de Wit

Nikhef Amsterdam



Hermann and I wrote 26 joint papers, of which the first 14 ones, written during 1980-1987, dealt exclusively with N=8 supergravity and its possible higher-dimensional origin.

This effort was based on the believe that N=8 supergravity was so beautiful that it has to play a role in the physical world.

In working together during all these years I learned that Hermann has a strong appreciation of the relation between

#### TRUTH & BEAUTY

It is certainly true that N=8 supergravity is an exceptional 4D theory.

#### **TRUTH & BEAUTY**

Of course one must be cautious with arguments based on esthetics and is easy to be carried away as the following trivial example illustrates:

Hermann Nícolaí (AEI) was born in 1952 Friedrich der Grosse (Sanssouci) was born in 1712

Their age difference is therefore

$$\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{H} = 240$$
 years

Surprisingly, the symplectic group  $S_8(2)$  and the unitary group  $2.U_4(2)$  both have a permutation representation on 240 points.

#### How could this be a coincidence .....?

## N=8 Supergravity

#### **Truly beautiful theory:**

- Eight supersymmetries (maximal)
- \* Contains gravity and 28 abelian gauge fields
- \* Non-linearly realized  $E_{7(7)}$  symmetry, optionally broken by an SO(8) gauge group
- Follows from D=11 supergravity by dimensional compactification on a 7-sphere

#### What we did not know at the time:

\* Many more gauge groups are possible (not related to non-compact or contracted versions of SO(8)) dW, Samtleben, Trigiante, 2007

\* N = 8 Supergravity may be a finite quantum field theory !

Bern, Carrasco, Dixon, Johansson, Kosower, Roiban, 2007

dW, Freedman, 1977 dW, 1979 Cremmer, Julia, 1979 Brink, Howe, 1979 dW, Nicolai, 1982



### **QUALITIES OF THE UNIFIED FIELD**

#### LOCATED IN THE LAGRANGIAN OF THE UNIFIED FIELD



-3/2

GRAVITINOS

PROP NOT PROPERTY AND THE

periodical loss

estimative and equilibre and equilaters

- 2

GRAVITON

SUPERMULTIPLET

SCALARS

-1/2



Because the unified field is the bountainhead of natural law, all qualities in the Because the united field is the institutional of national law, all qualities in the universe have their origin in the united field. This chart presents a law key key char-acteristics of the unified field derived by Dr. John Hagelin, Professor of Physics at Maharbib International Cuivernity, Iron the Lagrangian of N = 1 supergravity theory as recently itermalated by Dr. Bernard de Wit and Dr. Hermann Nicolai. These qualities pervide a glimpse of the benefits that action where the unified field is enlivered in individual and collective consciousness through the Maharbib "evolution of the Witter High." Technology of the Unified Field.

- ALL POSSIBILITIES: All possible local gauge-invariant operators are gener and by non-perturbative quantum gravitational effects at the Planck scale. • FREEDOM: The graviton remains a live, unbound particle in the physica
- rum, and the entire supermultiplet becomes asymptotically free at the
- UNBOUNDEENESS: The translational invariance of the Lagrangian density also-expressed by the graviton, which is the gauge field of an infinite range force.
- SELF-SUPPICENCY: The gravitors does not participate in the activity of
  proon binding and is a singlet with respect to the internal SO(8) and SU(8)
  symmetries of the Lagrangian.
   BLSN: Expressed by the continuous effervencence of topological fluctuations
  at the Planck scale and by the universally attractive nature of the graviton field.
- a) the runce scar and by the university attractive nature of the graviton tech. INTEGRATING: The gravitine fields dynamically upheal local supersymmetry, which integrates the different spin components of the supermultiplex maintaining the unbroken wholeness of the superfield. SELF-BETRAL: The non-Abelian property of self-interaction of the vector fields that upheld the local SO(8) symmetry. The property of self-interaction is also present in the graviton, gravitino, spinor, and scalar fields, and therefore
- · INVINCIBILITY: A non-Abelian gauge field dynamically upholds its own insymmetry transformations.
- PERFECT BALANCE: Supersymmetry perfect balance of bosonic and fermion

- · FULLY AWAKE WITHEN ITSELF: The zero-point motion of the quantum m at the Planck scale · TOTAL POTENTIAL OF NATURAL LAW: All the fundamental field types
- are fully enlivered as dynamical degrees of freedom at the Planck scale SIMPLICITY: All of the fundamental components together comprise a nents together comprise a single
- MMPLATET, ALL of the transformerial components together comprise a single irreducible representation of the symmetry group.
   UNNANEREST: The fundamental components of the supermultiplet, the prevent, do not appear as manifest particles.
   HARMONIZING: The gravitino is the gauge field of local supersymmetry, whish untils completely represent subarea-boxe and itermi fields.
   INTENTE CORELEXITION: Expressed by the terms which uphold the local providence to the supersymmetry.
- SO(i) gauge invariance of the Lagrangian. INFINITE DYNAMISM: The trilinear and quartic couplings describe the dynamical interaction of the preven fields.

The supersymmetric structure of the Lagrangian is expressed in the N = 8 gauge supermultiplet shown above. The supermultiplet shows the unified field as a bal-arced coexistence of 256/hardamental components or "process". Each translamental component is shown coice coordinated with its mathematical expression in the Lagrangian: the spin 2 graviton [blac], the 8 spin 3/2 gravitino fields [rod], the 28 spin 1 vector boxens general, and the 56 spin 1/2 spinor fields [rodage], together with all their negative helicity and the 56 spin 1/2 spinor fields [rodage], together with all fuel methods and the 70 spin of scalar fields [rows]. All the handamental components of the unified field, beginning with the gravitinos,

VECTORS

- INFINITE SILENCE: The trilinear and quartic couplings preserve the invariance of the Lagrangian under local supersymmetry transformations.
   PURE KNOWLEDGE: The Lagrangian is the most compact mathematical es-
- of the laws of nature.
- PERFECT ORDERLINESS: The SO(8, SU)8 and extended super-Princasi

are generated from the graviton field by the successive application of eight super-syntmetry generators. Since the supersymmetry generators are arriterementing their sequential application necessarily results in an expansion followed by a colthese ends the arrive supportation for the site of the super-layer, and the entries supportanticiplet is is issued to entropy from and collapse into the positive and negative belicity states of the graviton field. Comprising 128 bosonic [B] and 128 isometics [P] degraves of freedom, the supermetability represents the balanced coexistence of all the fandamental fields of nature, and expresses the total potential of natural law that is eternally available at every space-time point

• Gamban

VECTORS

NOURISHING: The supermultiplet is a gauge field which dynamically upholds the unified structure of all its individual components.
 EVOLUTIONARY: The Hamiltonian operator generates the time-evolution

All these beautiful, evolutionary qualities spontaneously blossom in individ-nal and collective life through the Maharishi Technology of the Unified Field. and and collective life through the Maharishi Technology of the United Tickl, which appen-human as an armess to the Rivert experiment of consciousness in its self-reieral state, transcendental consciousness, where consciousness is load identified with the autified field of all the laws of nature. The enlivenment of all these qualities in world consciousness was beautifully demonstrated by the im-proved quality of world events when 7000 experts in the Maharishi Technology of the Unified Field (the square root of one percent of the world's population) gathered at Maharishi International University from December 17, 1983 to January 6, 1984. This historic assembly verified the practical formula to create a unified field based ideal civilization.



these spin types, while the rest of the Lagrangian describes their mutual interactions.

3/2

GRAVITINOS

B

2

GRAVITON

- INFINITE OBGANIZING POWER: The Hamiltonian operator, derived from the Lagrangian by a Legendre transformation, governs all activity in the
- reprimervise of the Lagrangian. IDFINITE CREATIVITY: The fountainhead of natural law—from this initide source all the particles and forces of nature are generated through the process of dynamical symmetry breaking. PCBIFTING: The symmetries of the Lagrangian, which are broken at macroscopic distances, are spontaneously restored at the Flanck scale.
- . DUMORTALITY: The time-translational invariance of the Lagrangian density

#### COMPARISON TO D=5 MAXIMAL SUPERGRAVITY

In five space-time dimensions maximal supergravity has similar features. The ungauged theory has a non-linearly realized  $E_{6(6)}$  symmetry. Günaydin, Romans, Warner, 2005

Its field representation depends critically on the particular gauging, a phenomenon related to tensor-vector duality. All possible gaugings are in principle known and encoded in a so-called embedding tensor. *dW, Samtleben, Trigiante, 2005* 

The *5D* theory is more 'beautiful' than II*B* supergravity in ten space-time dimensions, from which it can be obtained by dimensional reduction. The latter is based on a more reducible field configuration as compared to *11D* supergravity.



Of course there is no argument at all for believing that the 5D theory should be less relevant than the 4D one, just because of 'esthetical shortcomings' !

Rather, I want to take this opportunity to review some of what we did at the time for N=8 supergravity, and to consider applying the same strategy for 5D maximal supergravity.

The conclusion will be that we were helped by the symmetric structure of D=11 supergravity. Our strategy was never applied to any other theory. Exploring this strategy for another theory, such as IIB supergravity, is a worthwhile exercise, because the structure of that theory is less rigid. The application to IIB supergravity should also be interesting in its own right.

An important ingredient in our work was the construction of a new formulation of D=11 supergravity.

#### **CONSISTENCY & EMBEDDING**

Compactifying the eleven-dimensional space on a seven-sphere one can truncate the degrees of freedom of D=11 supergravity to a 'massless' N=8 supermultiplet. This multiplet coincides with the supermultiplet on which N=8 supergravity is based.

In attempting to prove that this truncation is consistent, we constructed an alternative formulation of D=11 supergravity, which does not truncate the number of degrees of freedom, and is locally SU(8) invariant. *dW, Nicolai, 1986* 

It is constructed by writing the higher-dimensional theory in the form of a lower-dimensional one, still retaining the dependence on the extra coordinates, by changing the tangent space of the theory and thus the R-symmetry group.

Hence the spinor fields are converted to spinors in a lower-dimensional space-time. This is a crucial step.

THE 11 = 4 + 7 SPLIT :

Kaluza-Klein-type ansatz

ansatz:  

$$E_M{}^A = \begin{pmatrix} e_\mu{}^\alpha & B_\mu{}^n & e_n{}^a \\ & & & \\ 0 & & e_m{}^a \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3 \\ a = 1, 2, \dots, 7 \end{array}$$

(Weyl rescaling  $\rightarrow$  Einstein frame)

Tangent-space group:  $SO(10, 1) \longrightarrow SO(3, 1) \times SO(7)$ 

Fermion decomposition:  $\psi_M \longrightarrow \psi_\mu + \psi_a$  $\operatorname{Spin}(10,1) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spin}(3,1) \times \operatorname{Spin}(7)$ 

 $\longrightarrow$  Spin(3,1) × Spin(8)

8 + 56 4D spinors

Majorana extension: use  $\gamma_5$ 

chiral SU(8): 8 + 56

More precisely:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma_{ab} \oplus i\Gamma_{a} \oplus \gamma_{5}\Gamma_{abc} & a = 1, 2, \dots, 7 \\ & \mathfrak{so}(7) & \\ & - \mathfrak{so}(8) - & \\ & - & \mathfrak{su}(8) - & \\ \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Spinor representation of } \psi_{a} \colon \underline{\chi_{ABC} \propto \Gamma^{a}_{[AB} \psi_{aC]}} \\ & \mathsf{Cremmer, Julia, I} \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta B_{\mu}{}^{m} = \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{2}\mathrm{i}\Delta^{-1/2}\,\Gamma^{m}{}_{AB}\left(2\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\epsilon}^{A}\,\psi_{\mu}{}^{B} + \bar{\epsilon}_{C}\,\gamma_{\mu}\chi^{ABC}\right) + \mathrm{h.c.}$$

**Generalized vielbein:** 

$$e^m_{AB} = \mathrm{i}\Delta^{-1/2} \, e_a{}^m \, \Gamma^a_{AB}$$

979

Complexified by a compensating local SU(8) transformation on all the spinors. Hence, one regards the results obtained from 11D as a gauge-fixed version of a new underlying theory and includes a uniform SU(8)/SO(7) phase factor. The generalized vielbein satisfies a large number of algebraic and differential constraints.

Besides the local SU(8) invariance, the formulation exhibits also  $E_{7(7)}$  covariant (not invariant) features, in a way that is very similar to the structure of the purely 4D theory !

For instance, the supersymmetry variation of the generalized vielbein takes the form

 $\in \mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}/\mathfrak{su}(8)$ 

$$\delta e^m_{AB} = -\sqrt{2} \Sigma_{ABCD} e^{m CD}$$
$$\Sigma_{ABCD} = \bar{\epsilon}_{[A} \chi_{BCD]} + \varepsilon_{ABCDEFGH} \bar{\epsilon}^E \chi^{FGE}$$

SU(8) covariant (by construction ?)

The supersymmetry variations of the spinor fields lead to a number of generalized 'connections' (such as an SU(8) connection) which can be used to define generalized covariant derivatives. The differential constraints on the generalized vielbeine imply that they are covariantly constant with respect to these derivatives. This condition is known as the 'generalized vielbein postulate'.

This formulation still describes the full D=11 theory. The distribution of the degrees of freedom is very subtle and depends on the background considered.

For instance, in the  $S^7$  compactification, the vector fields  $B_{\mu}{}^m$  describe 28 vector gauge fields associated with the  $S^7$  isometries, but only 7 ones in the toroidal compactification. In that case additional vector fields will provided by the three-rank tensor field  $A_{MNP}$ .

It is fair to say that the full geometrical and the dynamical implications of this new formulation of D=11 supergravity have not been fully explored.

On the other hand, on the basis of this formulation, it was possible to fully understand the **consistent embedding** of SO(8) gauged supergravity at the full non-linear level (i.e. for the field configuration space, beyond the isolated points that correspond to specific solutions). *dW, Nicolai, 1987* 

Nicolai, Pilch, 2012

In this truncation the dependence on the  $S^7$  coordinates of the non-linearly redefined fields is captured in terms of  $S^7$  Killing spinors and vectors. But it is crucial that this truncation is applied on the redefined fields, including the scale factor and the local SU(8)/SO(7) phase factor. The presence of this phase factor was in line with earlier findings where we consistently extrapolated between two solutions of *N*=8 supergravity, and correspondingly, between the two uplifted solutions of *11D* supergravity.

To explore this strategy further it is of interest to consider its application to other theories. A prime candidate for this is **II**B supergravity. Here I will present some results from a preliminary analysis.

#### **IIB SUPERGRAVITY**

The existence of this theory was inferred from IIB superstring theory. The theory has a non-linearly realized  $SL(2) \cong SU(1,1)$  symmetry. Its field configuration contains the vielbein and a complex chiral gravitino, a complex chiral fermion, a complex scalar, and a number of antisymmetric tensor gauge fields:

$$E_M{}^A~\phi^{lpha}~A_{MN}{}^{lpha}~A_{MNPQ}$$

$$\psi_M$$
  $\lambda$ 

Green, Schwarz, 1982 Schwarz, West, 1983 Schwarz, 1983

#### Upon truncation:

Its compactication on a five-torus is expected to lead<br/>to ungauged 5D maximal supergravity.Cremmer, 1980Its compactification on the five-sphere is expected to<br/>lead to SO(6) gauged supergravity.Günaydin, Romans, Warner, 1986

THE 10 = 5 + 5 SPLIT:

Kaluza-Klein-type ansatz:

$$E_M{}^A = \begin{pmatrix} e_\mu{}^\alpha & B_\mu{}^n e_n{}^a \\ & & \\ 0 & e_m{}^a \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \alpha = 0, 1, \dots, 4 \\ a = 1, 2, \dots, 5 \\ \end{array}$$

(Weyl rescaling  $\rightarrow$  Einstein frame)

Tangent space group:  $SO(9,1) \longrightarrow SO(4,1) \times SO(5)$ 

Fermion decomposition:  $\psi_M + \lambda \longrightarrow \psi_\mu + \psi_a + \lambda$   $\operatorname{Spin}(9,1) \times \operatorname{U}(1) \longrightarrow \operatorname{USp}(4,1) \times \operatorname{USp}(4) \times \operatorname{U}(1)$  $\longrightarrow \operatorname{Spin}(4,1) \times \operatorname{SU}(4) \times \operatorname{U}(1)$ 

4 + 4 + 20 + 20 + 4 + 4 5D spinors

Further extension: use U(1)



$$\begin{split} &\text{USp}(8) \text{ generators for gravitini :} \\ & T \equiv \text{i} \mathbf{1}_4 \otimes \sigma_3, \qquad T_a \equiv \text{i} \Gamma_a \otimes \sigma_3, \\ & T_{ab}^0 \equiv \Gamma_{ab} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2, \qquad T_{ab}^1 \equiv \Gamma_{ab} \otimes \sigma_1, \qquad T_{ab}^2 \equiv \Gamma_{ab} \otimes \sigma_2 \,. \end{split}$$

 $T: U(1) \text{ generator}: \qquad \psi_M \rightarrow e^{i\Lambda/2} \psi_M$  $\lambda \rightarrow e^{-3i\Lambda/2} \lambda$ 

 $T_a \oplus T_{ab}{}^0$  generators of SU(4) $T_{ab}{}^1 \oplus T_{ab}{}^2 \quad \Delta T = \pm 1$ 

 $4 \times 4$  gamma matrices :

$$\gamma_{\alpha}|_{\text{spacetime}} = i\Gamma_{\alpha}\Gamma_{6}\Gamma_{7}\Gamma_{8}\Gamma_{9}\Gamma_{10}$$

$$\Gamma_{a}|_{\text{internal}} = i\Gamma_{a+5}\Gamma_{1}\Gamma_{2}\Gamma_{3}\Gamma_{4}\Gamma_{5}$$

The USp(8) transformations on the 48 spin-1/2 is much more subtle. One has to assemble the fields  $\psi_a \oplus \lambda$  and their complex conjugates into a single three-index tensor

 $\delta B_{\mu}{}^{m} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{-1/3} e_{a}{}^{m} \left( i \bar{\epsilon} \Gamma^{a} \psi_{\mu} + \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_{\mu} (\delta^{a}{}_{b} + \frac{1}{3} \Gamma^{a} \Gamma_{b}) \psi^{b} + h.c. \right)$ incomplete representation  $T = \pm 1/2$ 

This raises intriguing questions about the role of the generalized vielbein! It might be that the above structure will have to be complemented with terms appearing in the variation of the vector fields that arise under the reduction of the tensor fields.

It is clear that things are more involved in this case, and to elucidate the full structure will require more time. Nevertheless, we have already understood how a large part of the program as it was applied to 11D supergravity, can be carried out. A very intriguing question concerns the overall structure that will be obtained, in particular with regard to the generalized vielbein postulate.

The potential results can be used to show that SO(6) gauged supergravity can be embedded consistently into IIB supergravity.

With regard to other possible applications, it is important to realize that the new formulation still contains the full tower of Kaluze-Klein supermultiplets. Perhaps this could eventually be exploited in the context of AdS/CFT.

### ETERNAL BLISS

IN ALL THESE YEARS WE WERE NOT JUST DOING SUPERGRAVITY AND OTHER SUPERSTUFF......

WE SPEND MANY PLEASANT TIMES TOGETHER, ALSO WITH OUR FAMILIES, WE MET ALL OVER THE GLOBE......

WE SHARED IN MORE THAN OUR JOINT SCIENTIFIC EXCITEMENT.....

AND WE PLAYED THE PIAND !

# Happy Birchday

# And many happy returns!

Wednesday 5 September 2012